

NOVEL LINES OF DEFENSE IN FAMOUS HAT STRIKE CASES

Claimed That Agreement into Which Com- panies Entered is Void Because in Restraint of Trade.

Further Claim is Made that Officers Could Not Delegate Powers of Their Corporations to the Association—Amounts Under Attachment Reduced.

In the suit of the Associated Hat Manufacturers versus the fifteen hat manufacturers who failed to obey the dictates of the organization, the rebellion companies have won a preliminary skirmish in having the attachment on their property and bank accounts reduced to a substantial extent. The application for a reduction of the attachments was made before Judge Burpee last Wednesday and a decision was handed down yesterday afternoon which proved favorable to four of the applicants, but in four other cases the attachment was permitted to remain as before. The prayers of the other defendants were not heard by Judge Burpee, who says in his decision.

"In the case against Simon & Kane, it appears that the real estate exceeds in value the amount of the attachment. Therefore, the attachment on the real estate, which is alleged to be about \$11,000, is released from the attachment.

"In the case of the Lee Hat Co. the agreed value of real estate is \$10,000, the cash on deposit is \$19,065.69. Of the latter item \$3,000 may be released from attachment, and the attachment reduced to that amount.

"In the case of the Hawes-VanGul Co. it is agreed that the value of the real estate under attachment is \$50,000, the cash on deposit amounts to \$5,683.56. All the cash on deposit is released, and the attachment is reduced to \$55,000.

"The case against the S. A. G. Hat Co., the agreed value of the real estate under attachment is \$48,000, the cash on deposit is \$2,237.48. All the money may be released from attachment, and the attachment on the real estate may be reduced to the sum of \$50,000.

"This order may take effect immediately, and if deemed necessary, proper certificate may be prepared and sent to be signed.

"In the following cases to wit: The Delorbert Hat Co., the H. McLachlan Co., the Hoyt-Messinger Co., the Blair-Untch Co., the agreed value of the property under attachment in each case, deducting incumbrances, does not exceed the ad damnum in the several cases. Inasmuch as an opportunity has been given to the plaintiffs to show that the ad damnum does not exceed its apparent claim in each case, no further release will be made at this time."

The plaintiff organization was ordered to produce at the hearing last Wednesday a bill of particulars, or a circumstantial account of the damages sustained through the alleged breaches of the by-laws of the association on the part of the defendants. According to these by-laws each company neglecting to comply therewith is liable to the central body for damages according to a bill of particulars, amounting to \$20,000. Attorney Milton Dammann of New York, who is the assistant secretary of the Associated Hat Manufacturers, appeared as the plaintiff at the hearing, but could not give a satisfactory estimate of the damages, and so the hearing went over until June 14, when a bill of particulars is to be presented.

When this bill of particulars is filed the trial proper will be begun, for which the defendants have outlined three lines of defense. The suit is

TO IMPROVE PLANT \$200,000 RAISED

AUTOMATIC MACHINE COMPANY WILL MAKE EXTENSIVE IM- PROVEMENTS IN PACIFIC IRON WORKS PLANT.

Testing Room to Be Built

Company Has Leased Iron Works Plant Under Terms by Which Rental Goes to Purchase Price—Manufactures Are Automatic Machinery and Gasoline Engines.

An interesting history of the Automatic Machine Company is given in connection with the marketing of \$60,000 of the preferred stock of the company which has been undertaken by Thomas Perkins, of Hartford. The company originally had a capitalization of \$100,000 which is now to be increased by the issue of \$200,000 of preferred stock. Of this amount \$100,000 is said to have been subscribed and paid for by F. J. Kingsbury, Jr., Norman Leeds, William R. Webster, Stiles E. Goodsell, James Coulter, Thomas Coulter, Charles G. Sanford, Henry Bishop, William E. Burnham and others, all of Bridgeport; F. K. Curtis, of New York; and F. J. Kingsbury, of Waterbury, and their friends.

The company is engaged in the manufacture of automatic machinery, automatic wire forming and metal forming machinery, power presses, and automatic thread-rolling machines. The officers and directors of the company are: F. J. Kingsbury, Jr., vice president and superintendent; Norman Leeds, secretary and general manager; Norman Leeds, secretary; Stiles E. Goodsell, Henry A. Bishop, William E. Burnham and William R. Webster.

It is stated that the plant of the Iron Works is leased under an agreement whereby the amount paid each year for the use of the plant is to be applied to the purchase price of the property so that in a period of years the entire property and assets of the Iron Works will revert to the company.

CHILD'S LEG, BADLY CRUSHED; IS AMPUTATED

Sad Accident Sustained by Mary Mizzik, Aged Eight, Who Played on Street, Be- tween Truck and Sidewalk

Expecting no harm little Mary Mizzik, a sweet little girl of eight, played happily with some little companions, this morning, in the neighborhood of her home, 1812 Seaview avenue. An hour or two later she was placed upon the operating table at the Bridgeport hospital, and her left leg was amputated just below the knee.

EARLY HARVEST; FOURTH VICTIMS

New York, July 3.—The "Glorious Fourth" began to reap its harvest early today in many towns where Young Men's Impromptu bands were playing.

Monday, started the annual cannonading. At Harrison, N. J., Edward Warrington, 47, died of a heart attack while he was trying to "break" a pistol that "wasn't loaded." When his finger came in contact with the trigger, the bullet tore through his left eye and lodged in the brain. A Phillipsburg, N. J. Charles Gliddens and Ellsworth Davis, aged 16, were ramming a charge of dynamite into some home-made cannon. The charge exploded and their eyes were badly injured. It is feared both may lose their eyesight.

BLOODY BATTLE BETWEEN REBELS AND LOYALISTS

(Special from United Press.) Tangier, July 3.—News has just reached here of a bloody battle fought in the desert on June 28th, between the Ulaema tribesmen, who are loyal to the throne, and the rebel El Roghis tribesmen. The battle waged from early morning until nearly dusk when a charge of the rebels put the loyalists to utter flight. Both sides were armed with modern rifles and the rebels charged in the face of galling machine gun fire, using the bayonet at close quarters. The scene resembles a shambles both the rebels and loyalists losing hundreds of men. The loyalists fought with fanatical zeal before fleeing but could not withstand the terrible onslaught of the rebel forces.

During the night large forces of the rebels in patrols searched the field where the battle had been fought, and with their swords cut off the heads of every one of the wounded loyalists which could be found. Not a single one escaped.

HOW CITY, BILTZ CO., AND BILTZ ET ALS., DO BUSINESS

LEASE OF DOCK IS TO BILTZ CO., BUT BY AMICABLE ARRANGE- MENT, CITY HELPS PAY RENT.

Hoisting Machine For Boat

Is Owned by New Jersey Concern, Says Director of Public Works—Cooperation of Municipal and Private Enterprise Makes Contractors Jealous.

Charles F. A. Biltz, director of public works, solved to-day one of the many municipal riddles, which have come into being during the present administration of the city government, by rectifying the inter-relations between Biltz & Co. and the City of Bridgeport, between whom the energetic director of public works forms a nexus, which has been the admiration, and somewhat the envy of the managers of other local concerns whose special business it is to build sidewalks, dig sewers and the like.

The dock is also equipped with a hoisting apparatus, which harmonizes with the machinery of the city, and the use of unloading barges filled with crushed stone or sand. Those not posted on the complexities of municipal industry have wondered who owned the dock operated in such admirable co-operation.

The dock is also equipped with a hoisting apparatus, which harmonizes with the machinery of the city, and the use of unloading barges filled with crushed stone or sand. Those not posted on the complexities of municipal industry have wondered who owned the dock operated in such admirable co-operation.

When inquiry was made of Director Biltz in regard to the matter, he unhesitatingly made full explanation.

The dock, he said, is leased by Biltz & Co. for the term of five years. The use of the dock is leased to the city for the sum of five cents per ton levied on the weight of every cargo unloaded. The hoisting apparatus, he said, is owned by the Lambert Hoisting Engine Co. of Newark, N. J.

Mr. Biltz further said that the former cost of unloading cargoes was from 30 to 35 cents a ton, including wharfage. Now the cost is reduced to 10 cents a ton. It appears that now the cost, according to Mr. Biltz figures, is 25 cents a ton.

FARMER WILL NOT BE PUBLISHED MONDAY

The Farmer will, in accordance with long established custom, omit publication on Monday when Independence Day is to be observed.

TALK OF GAYNOR AND BINGHAM FOR MAYORALTY

(Special from United Press.) New York, July 3.—"Politics" is still the reigning cry in New York following the resignation of Mayor Bingham and the nomination of William Gaynor.

The committee of one hundred, an organization organized by the Tammany Hall machine, is speaking of Bingham as the Fusion ticket for Mayor this fall. Tammany, however, has been offered the position of Bingham is certain. Their plea was that the control of the police at the time of the Tammany leader.

RELIEF TRAINS FOR HOMELESS BY COBALT FIRE

(Special from United Press.) Cobalt, July 3.—Relief trains are beginning to arrive here from all over England for the assistance of the persons made homeless by the fire which swept the northern part of the town yesterday. A local relief committee has been organized, and by business men of the town, to handle the supplies. A government relief corps is on the way with a trainload of tents and blankets and the former has started two carloads of provisions.

Congressman Cushman Rallies This Morning

(Special from United Press.) New York, July 3.—Representative Francis W. Cushman of Tacoma, Wash., who is seriously ill with pneumonia, at the Roosevelt hospital in this city, rallied this morning after a hard fight against death during the night. His condition changed for the better about daylight to-day and the doctors think that he will live. Cushman came here in June to undergo an operation. Pneumonia developed a week ago. It was feared he might not live. His temperature was 104 and he was breathing hard.

MAN LOOKING FOR WORK MANGLED BY FREIGHT CARS

The ambulance was called to the East End freight yard at 4:50 o'clock this morning. Harry Johnson, who said he lived at 245 Main street, aged 45, was caught between two freight cars and badly crushed. He has contusions of both thighs, and is perhaps internally injured. Dr. Kiernan had him taken to Bridgeport Hospital. Johnson said that he was looking for work.

WHERE IS HARGRAVE?

The police have been asked to locate Sidney E. Hargrave, aged 24 years and weighing about 225 pounds. Hargrave is being looked after by some folks in Sioux City, Iowa, who have some valuable information to hand to him. They claim that Hargrave was formerly a broker with an office in this city. Any one who can get a line on Hargrave are asked to communicate with the police.

Washington, July 3.—The tax on tea and coffee in the maximum and minimum sections of the tariff bill was eliminated in the Senate to-day.

PAPER HANGER AND PAINTER desires work; paper hanging, 2c double and 1c single. Paper furnished. Sample books, Colling balsomined, 50c each. Work guaranteed. Geatons, 233 Gilbert street.

NOTICE.
On account of holiday there will be no meeting of Stratford Circle, 948, C. O. F. of A., on Monday evening, July 5th. The installation of officers will take place at meeting of July 19th, when a social session will be held. All members are invited.
MISS MARGARET DONNELLY, C. C.
MISS E. RECKORD, Sec.

FLANAGAN HELD IN HEAVY BAIL

WITH MISTOL AND TIN BADGE HE THREATENS MRS. AINSWORTH, IMPSONATING A DETECTIVE

Fellow Returns to Apologize

Plucky Woman Tells in City Court Story Illustrating Her Nerve in Trying Circumstances—Charge Is Attempted Rape; Bond \$5,000.

Mrs. Charles Ainsworth, a most estimable woman, quietly told in the city court this morning a story which reflected credit upon her nerve and daring and which resulted in a finding of probable cause against David Flanagan, 58 Jones avenue, who was held in \$5,000 bail for the Superior court, upon the charge of assault with intent to commit rape.

Mrs. Ainsworth testified that Saturday night, as was upon the front porch of the comfortable home which her husband has built at 25 Ellsworth avenue, Flanagan approached, drew a pistol, showed a tin badge and claimed to be a detective.

"I have been watching you," said Flanagan, "I ought to arrest you or sell your house."

Mrs. Ainsworth bravely ordered her terrifying visitor off the porch, moving at the same time toward the door, which was opened by the display of a pistol, but determined to escape the doorway she fell. In the struggle which followed she succeeded in striking her assailant, who, perhaps, was frightened by the sound of persons ap- proaching, and gaining the interior of her home slammed the door and locked it.

With incomparable gall Flanagan, according to the testimony of the witness, appeared on the following Monday evening, plainly seated himself upon the veranda and made an elaborate apology for his conduct.

The Ainsworths own their own home. The husband is employed by the George B. Clark Co., and the furniture business. They have a son 16 years old.

Mrs. Ainsworth is a woman about 38 years of age, of dignified and matronly appearance.

Flanagan is the same who was recently fined \$20 in the Common Pleas court for molesting a young woman on the street. He made a voluminous explanation to the court, from which it seemed apparent that he has been hanging about the outskirts of the city watching women.

VICTIM'S POCKET FOUND IN ROBBER'S WELL WORN JEANS

Policeman Could Not Distin- guish Between Robber and Robbed, But Court Sifted Reilly Out—His Bond \$2,500.

John Reilly, a resident of Bunnell street, was bound over to the September term of the Superior Court, this morning, by Judge Foster under \$2,500 bonds, on the charge of robbery. Reilly was arrested last evening in Washington Park by Officer Bolger, as he was engaged in a scuffle with William Lounsbury, of 529 East Main street. Lounsbury claimed to be the officer that he was attacked in the park, and that Reilly had robbed him. In the city court this morning both men were given a chance to be heard. Lounsbury told the court that he was proceeding through Washington Park about 12:30 a. m., on the way to his home, when he was accosted by Reilly who struck him on the nose, knocking him down. While he was lying on the ground, his assailant kicked him in the head, which was quite discolored, the optic being very much discolored. Witness then engaged in a struggle, when the officer arrested both.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

MAN LOOKING FOR WORK MANGLED BY FREIGHT CARS

The ambulance was called to the East End freight yard at 4:50 o'clock this morning. Harry Johnson, who said he lived at 245 Main street, aged 45, was caught between two freight cars and badly crushed. He has contusions of both thighs, and is perhaps internally injured. Dr. Kiernan had him taken to Bridgeport Hospital. Johnson said that he was looking for work.

WHERE IS HARGRAVE?

The police have been asked to locate Sidney E. Hargrave, aged 24 years and weighing about 225 pounds. Hargrave is being looked after by some folks in Sioux City, Iowa, who have some valuable information to hand to him. They claim that Hargrave was formerly a broker with an office in this city. Any one who can get a line on Hargrave are asked to communicate with the police.

CONGRESSMAN CUSHMAN RALLIES THIS MORNING

(Special from United Press.) New York, July 3.—Representative Francis W. Cushman of Tacoma, Wash., who is seriously ill with pneumonia, at the Roosevelt hospital in this city, rallied this morning after a hard fight against death during the night. His condition changed for the better about daylight to-day and the doctors think that he will live. Cushman came here in June to undergo an operation. Pneumonia developed a week ago. It was feared he might not live. His temperature was 104 and he was breathing hard.

MAN LOOKING FOR WORK MANGLED BY FREIGHT CARS

The ambulance was called to the East End freight yard at 4:50 o'clock this morning. Harry Johnson, who said he lived at 245 Main street, aged 45, was caught between two freight cars and badly crushed. He has contusions of both thighs, and is perhaps internally injured. Dr. Kiernan had him taken to Bridgeport Hospital. Johnson said that he was looking for work.

NOTICE.
On account of holiday there will be no meeting of Stratford Circle, 948, C. O. F. of A., on Monday evening, July 5th. The installation of officers will take place at meeting of July 19th, when a social session will be held. All members are invited.
MISS MARGARET DONNELLY, C. C.
MISS E. RECKORD, Sec.

LIQUOR AND TEMPERANCE INTERESTS CLASH IN REAL LEGISLATIVE WAR

Early Closing Bill and Giving Women Right of Fran- chise In Questions Pertaining to Liquor Traffic the Bones of Contention.

Hartford, July 2.—A review of the liquor legislation which has already passed both branches of the General Assembly, or which has passed one branch and seems likely to pass both, indicates that not since the time, many years ago, when a prohibition law making the state dry throughout its entire length was passed, has there been such an onslaught, foot and cavalry, upon the liquor business. Three bills of unusual importance to the liquor interests have already passed the House. One is the bill devised by Rep. Charles H. Peck of Stratford, which was favorably reported by the committee, and which has for its object the ultimate reduction of licenses to one in 500 of population. This bill is not as present drastic, and will not directly affect any person now engaged in the business. It has passed the House.

But a bill more far reaching was passed by the House over the heads of the Temperance Committee, after it had been unfavorably reported. This is the bill giving women a vote upon any question relating to the liquor traffic.

Its passage has already been noted in the Farmer. The house voted 82 to 59 for the bill, after listening to an impassioned speech by Rep. Loos of New Haven, in which he said: "Divine providence made women for uses more elevating than being dragged to an election booth, and made an elaborate apology for his conduct."

The Ainsworths own their own home. The husband is employed by the George B. Clark Co., and the furniture business. They have a son 16 years old.

Mrs. Ainsworth is a woman about 38 years of age, of dignified and matronly appearance.

Flanagan is the same who was recently fined \$20 in the Common Pleas court for molesting a young woman on the street. He made a voluminous explanation to the court, from which it seemed apparent that he has been hanging about the outskirts of the city watching women.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.

Reilly testified that Lounsbury was drunk, and that he was trying to bring him to his home when the police officer arrested both. He denied that he attempted to rob Lounsbury. Bolger was next called and said that he had searched Reilly, finding upon his person a silver watch within, what he supposed to be a ragged bag, but which was in reality the pocket of Lounsbury's overalls, which had been torn off. There was also five cents in the pocket. Reilly could not explain how the watch got into his pocket. The court could not accept his evidence and bound him over to the high court. Lounsbury was discharged. He is a painter by trade.